





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,098	08/28/2001	Lance E. Steward	17451 (BOT)	6185
23601	7590 06/09/2003			
	& FLORES LLP		EXAMI	NER
4370 LA JOL 7TH FLOOR	LA VILLAGE DRIVE	DUFFY, PAT	RICIA ANN	
SAN DIEGO,	, CA 92122			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	0/
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2003	Ŏ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/942,908

Applicant(s)

Steward et al

Examiner

Patricia A. Duffy

Art Unit **1645** 

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover :	sheet with	the correspondence address		
	for Reply					
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE	one	_ MONTH(S) FROM		
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	no event, however	r, may a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
-	date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	e statutory minimi	um of thirty (3	(0) days will be considered timely.		
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> </ul>						
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the					
earned Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<del> </del>	<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-fin	ıal.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-95			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-95</u>	a	re subjec	t to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			•		
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accep	ted or b)	$\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be	held in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is: a)□	approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			•		
13) 🗌	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been recei	ved in Ap	plication No		
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea</li> </ol>					
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified co	pies not r	eceived.		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority unde	er 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(e).		
a) [	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	I application	has been	received.		
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority unde	er 35 U.S.	.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm		n □ ( · · ·				
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)			O-413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:						
31 L IIII	omitation pisolosulo otatament(s) (FTO 1445) Fapel No(s).	of other.				

Art Unit: 1645

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Prior to setting forth the restriction requirement, it is noted out that the claims recite improper Markush Groups. M.P.E.P. 803.02 states that: Since the decisions in *In re Weber*, 580 F.2d 455, 198 USPQ 328 (CCPA 1978); and *In re Haas*, 580 F.2d 461, 198 USPQ 334 (CCPA 1978), it is improper for the Office to refuse to examine that which applicants regard as their invention, *unless the subject matter in a claim lacks unity of invention* [emphasis added], *In re Harnish*, 631 F.2d 716, 206 USPQ 300 (CCPA 1980); and *Ex parte Hozumi*, 3 USPQ2d 1059 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1984). Broadly, unity of invention exists where compounds included within a Markush group (1) share a common utility and (2) share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility." In the instant case, the method and products rely upon substrates that have mutually exclusive characteristics, because each toxin cleaves a different peptide bond and therefore require non-coextensive searches to such an extent that they are considered not to share a structural feature in common.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,098

Art Unit: 1645

## Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - 1. Claims 1-3, 4-8 and 45-66 (in part) drawn to a substrate for botulinum toxin serotype A, classified in class 530, subclass 402.
  - 2. Claims 1, 2, 9-13 and 45-66 (in part), drawn to a substrate for botulinum toxin serotype B, classified in 530, subclass 402.
  - 3. Claims 1-3, 14-20 and 45-66 (in part), drawn to a substrate for botulinum toxin serotype C1, classified in 530, subclass 402.
  - 4. Claims 1-3, 21-25 and 45-66 (in part), drawn to a substrate for botulinum toxin serotype D, classified in 530, subclass 402.
  - 5. Claims 1-3, 26-30 and 45-66 (in part), drawn to a substrate for botulinum toxin serotype E, classified in 530, subclass 402.
  - 6. Claims 1-3, 31-35 and 45-66 (in part), drawn to a substrate for botulinum toxin serotype F, classified in 530, subclass 402.
  - 7. Claims 1-3, 36-39 and 45-66 (in part), drawn to a substrate for botulinum toxin serotype G, classified in 530, subclass 402.
  - 8. Claims 1-3, 40-44 and 45-66 (in part), drawn to a substrate for tetanus toxin, classified in 530, subclass 402.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,098

Art Unit: 1645

- 9. Claims 68-70 and 78-95 (in part), drawn to a method using substrates for botulinum toxin serotype A, classified in class 435, subclass 7.4.
- 10. Claims 68-69, 71 and 78-95 (in part), drawn to a method using a substrate for botulinum toxin serotype B, classified in class 435, subclass 7.4.
- 11. Claims 68-69, 72 and 78-95 (in part), drawn to a method using a substrate for botulinum toxin serotype C1, classified in class 435, subclass 7.4.
- 12. Claims 68-69, 73 and 78-95 (in part), drawn to a method using a substrate for botulinum toxin serotype D, classified in class 435, subclass 7.4.
- 13. Claims 68-69, 74 and 78-95 (in part), drawn to a method using a substrate for botulinum toxin serotype E, classified in class 435, subclass 7.4.
- 14. Claims 68-69, 75 and 78-95 (in part), drawn to a method using a substrate for botulinum toxin serotype F, classified in class 435, subclass 7.4.
- 15. Claims 68-69, 76 and 78-95 (in part), drawn to a method using a substrate for botulinum toxin serotype G, classified in class 435, subclass 7.4.
- 16. Claims 68-69, 77 and 78-95 (in part), drawn to a method using a substrate for tetanus toxin, classified in class 435, subclass 7.4.
- 3. Inventions 1-8 are related as toxin substrates. However, the toxin substrates lack a common core structure and are therefore chemically distinct. In the instant case the

Application/Control Number: 09/942,098

Art Unit: 1645

different inventions define different substrates that lack structure in common and can not be interchanged. For example, serotype A requires a Gln-Arg bond, serotype B requires a Gln-Phe bond, serotype C requires a Lys-Ala bond, serotype D requires a Lys-Leu bond. These different bonds define the substrate specificity for cleavage sites for each of the individual toxins. As such, a search for one substrate comprising a cleavage site would not reveal art on the other cleavage sites and as such are mutually exclusive and distinct as claimed.

- 4. Inventions 9-18 are related as method of use of toxin substrates. The methods are distinct as claimed because they rely upon toxin substrates that are distinct as set forth supra.
- 5. Inventions (1-8) and (9-18) respectively are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:

  (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product (i.e. a method of detection) can be practiced with a materially different product such as a serotype specific monoclonal antibody.

Page 5

Art Unit: 1645

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group 1 is not required for Group 2-8 and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196. Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Art Unit: 1645

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia A. Duffy, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 305-7555. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached at (703) 308-3909.

Patricia A. Duffy, Ph.D. June 8, 2003

> Patricia A. Duffy, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Group 1600